

In This Issue

A Message from the Claims Administrator	2
By The Numbers	2
Court Status Reports.....	3
Update to NFL Rules Governing Appeals	3
Deadline to Take a Free BAP Exam.....	4
Published Special Master Decisions.....	5
Changes in Lawyer Representation	7

A Message from the Claims Administrator

We remain committed to the effective, fair, and transparent execution of the NFL Concussion Settlement. These efforts are reflected through our Status Reports and remain part of ongoing initiatives to ensure that the administration of the Settlement remains both fair and efficient. As reported in the First Quarter 2026 Status Reports, we received 66 new claims and issued 53 Notices of Monetary Award, totaling \$35,785,882 in the first three months of 2026. Also during this period, we paid \$46,127,229 to 148 Settlement Class Members (and others on their behalf as appropriate). In the First Quarter 2026, the Claims Administrator handled 2,375 contacts (623 calls; 1,752 emails) and the BAP Administrator handled 1,426 contacts (196 calls; 1,230 emails) concerning the Settlement Program.



Reach out to your Law Firm Contact, call 1-855-887-3485 or [email us](#) if you have any questions about any Program-related topic. Please continue to check the [Settlement Website](#) often for Program updates. ♦

Claims Administrator
BrownGreer PLC

By The Numbers

(As of 6/8/26)

20,590	4,572	2,184
Registered Settlement Class Members	Claim Packages Received	Payable Monetary Awards
\$1,692,531,007	17,486	17,296
Payable Monetary Award Amounts	BAP Appointments Scheduled	BAP Appointments Attended

Court Status Reports

At the Special Masters’ request, we streamlined our Status Reports compared to previous reports (beginning with the Annual Reports filed earlier this year) to clearly present data on the effectuation of the Settlement Agreement and reduce textual descriptions. The Claims Administrator and BAP Administrator 2025 Annual Reports briefly summarized the status of the Settlement Program and included supporting Exhibit A reports that provide a comprehensive overview of the administration, progress, and improvements of the Claims Administrator during 2025 and over the life of the Settlement Program. We adhered to this presentation style for the First Quarter 2026 reports filed on May 15, 2026, and will continue it for ongoing reports. The Court Status Reports are posted on the [Status Reports](#) page of the Settlement Website under the “Useful Information” tab.



WEEKLY REPORTS

Additional reports can be found on the Program’s public website at <https://www.nflconcussionsettlement.com> under the Reports & Statistics tab. These reports provided detailed Program statistics and are updated every week.◆


Update to NFL Rules Governing Appeals

On February 3, 2026, the NFL Concussion Litigation was reassigned to Judge Joshua D. Wolson (see ECF 12520). Consistent with the [Policies and Procedures](#) that Judge Wolson has adopted for his court proceedings and related communications, we have updated the requirements to request deadline extensions under the Rules Regarding the Appeals of Claim Determinations. Any party seeking an extension of time related to filing or briefing an Appeal or to the filing or briefing of an Objection to a Special Master Decision must make the extension request at least seven days before the applicable deadline or provide a showing of good cause as to why the party could not comply with this requirement. You must still notify the other parties to the Appeal of the extension request and determine if they consent or object and then present the extension request to the Claims Administrator.◆



Deadline to Take a Free BAP Exam

Remember, **June 7, 2027**, is the **BAP EXAM DEADLINE** for all eligible Retired NFL Football Players to attend their BAP exams. After that date, BAP exams will no longer be available. Retired Players who will turn 45 before this deadline must request their BAP exam before their 45th birthday. Click [here](#) for an FAQ about the deadline for taking a free BAP exam.



SCHEDULING A BAP EXAM

If your client is a Retired Player or the Representative Claimant of a Retired Player who is eligible for the BAP and would like to request a free BAP exam, you have two options in the BAP scheduling portal:

- 1. You can schedule a BAP exam for your client; or
- 2. You can give permission to your client to schedule the BAP exam himself.

Note that if you allow your client to schedule his BAP exam, we send the Player a temporary link and password to access the BAP scheduling portal so he can request an appointment, and you will no longer have access to help him with any aspect of the appointment (e.g., rescheduling).



WHAT IS THE BAP?

The BAP provides eligible Retired NFL Football Players with baseline neuropsychological and neurological assessment exams (“BAP exams”) to determine whether they are suffering from neurocognitive impairment. Players are examined by two providers: a neurologist and a neuropsychologist. There is no cost to your client or his family. These exams are paid for by the BAP Administrator from funds included in the Settlement.◆

Published Special Master Decisions

The Court has referred to the Special Masters all Appeals on Claims, which are determined in accordance with the [Rules Governing Appeals of Claim Determinations](#). The Special Masters designate which Appeal decisions to post on the Settlement Website, creating a set of rules and guidance for support and review of Claims. These decisions appear under the “Governing Documents” tab; click “Governing Decisions” and then the “Special Master” button to view controlling Special Master decisions. The material on each page is grouped by subject matter. Use the subject matter buttons on each page to view decisions under the subject matter you are interested in.

Twelve recent Special Master rulings that affect Monetary Award Claims have been posted to the Settlement Website since the last Insights Newsletter. Here are brief summaries of the posted decisions (click the links to see the complete decision text):

	DECISION ID	BRIEF SUMMARY
1.	In re: Appeal of SPID #100011392, 2026-14 Decided: 5/26/26	When corroboration rests on a third-party affidavit, the Settlement also requires evidence of moderate to severe cognitive decline from a previous level of performance as determined by the Settlement’s standardized neuropsychological testing protocol. The Special Master upheld the Claims Administrator’s denial because the Player’s testing did not meet that requirement and corroboration by third-party affidavit alone is not permissible under the Settlement.
2.	In re: Appeal of SPID #100009148, 2026-13 Decided: 5/19/26	A primary care physician who is neither a Settlement-contracted doctor nor specialist in neurology is not entitled to the same deference as Settlement-specific Program experts. The available medical records indicated that the Player’s Stroke did contribute to the Player’s cognitive impairments therefore the stroke offset was appropriately applied.
3.	In re: Appeal of SPID #100014860, 2026-12 Decided: 5/12/26	The Claims Administrator and AAP member declined to defer to the diagnosing physician’s CDR score determinations because they were not well articulated. During review on appeal, a consensus panel of AAP members determined that the Player demonstrated functional impairment sufficient to support his Qualifying Diagnosis.
4.	In re: Appeal of SPID #100007818, 2026-11 Decided: 4/29/26	The Player argued on appeal that the Claims Administrator should have deferred to the diagnosing BAP physician’s judgment regarding functional impairment. The diagnosing physician’s analysis lacked an internally coherent justification for the Player’s CDR scores and there was no clear and convincing evidence of error.
5.	In re: Appeal of SPID #100012968, 2026-10 Decided: 4/28/26	Two AAP Consultants independently reviewed the Player’s claim, and both found that the diagnosing physician’s Slick analysis contained fundamental flaws and the Player’s test results were invalid. The Special Master found as a matter of fact that the Player’s test scores did not sufficiently establish validity, so he did not need to decide other issues on appeal.

	DECISION ID	BRIEF SUMMARY
6.	In re: Appeal of SPID #100008392, 2026-9 Decided: 4/17/26	The diagnosing physician offered no explanation for the wide gap between the functional ability reported in the records and the functional impairment he assigned on the CDR. The Special Master determined that it was not error for the Claims Administrator to inquire further and ultimately conclude that the Player’s medical records did not satisfy the Settlement’s functional impairment requirement.
7.	In re: Appeal of SPID #900015846, 2026-8 Decided: 4/11/26	Following the Player’s new MAF testing for his Supplemental Claim, it became clear that the Player needed new neuropsychological testing, which the Claims Administrator clearly and repeatedly communicated. The denial of the Player’s claim on the grounds that the diagnosing physician had wrongly reused a test previously submitted in a successful claim was upheld on appeal.
8.	In re: Appeal of SPID #100007481, 2026-7 Decided: 3/25/26	Later-arriving evidence should only disturb a diagnosing physician’s judgment in a rare case when it generates a substantial and material update to the physician’s contemporaneously generated prior belief. The Special Master concluded that the later-arriving evidence here was piecemeal, not a pattern, and did not suggest that the Player was less than frank with his physician nor that the physician made a judgment error about the Player’s functional impairment.
9.	In re: Appeal of SPID #100009213, 2026-6 Decided: 3/25/26	The Player argued on appeal that the Claims Administrator improperly questioned the BAP neurologist concerning his CDR evaluation so that he changed his results. The Special Master found that it was perfectly appropriate for the Claims Administrator to ask its independent medical advisors to review the Player’s claim, and to offer the BAP neurologist feedback suggesting that his textual comments conflicted with the CDR scores he had given.
10.	In re: Appeal of SPID #100000355, 2026-5 Decided: 3/23/26	The Claims Administrator found material inconsistencies with the contemporaneous findings of the Player’s diagnosing physician, which made them unreliable records of the Player’s symptoms and not entitled to deference. The Player submitted new evidence from a forensic neurologist on appeal, which raised new red flags validating precisely why a non-Program, non-treating physician’s letter on appeal was entitled to no weight.
11.	In re: Appeal of SPID #100016111, 2026-4 Decided: 3/17/26	The Player appealed his denial, arguing that the Claims Administrator failed in its duties to supervise MAF doctors and violated the Settlement Agreement’s rules when it did not ensure the adequacy of the Qualified MAF Physician’s report. Nowhere do the rules create an affirmative obligation that the Claims Administrator cure a facially deficient Claim package that a Player nonetheless chose to submit.
12.	In re: Appeal of SPID #100003003, 2026-3 Decided: 3/16/26	The Settlement Agreement requires that the asserted Qualifying Diagnosis for a Supplemental Claim postdate the earlier diagnosis for purposes of entitlement to a Monetary Award. It conditions an award on whether a Player has qualified for additional compensation because his condition has developed into a new Diagnosis, not previously compensable. ♦



Change in Representation Status

Lawyers and law firms should notify us when they no longer represent a Retired NFL Football Player, Representative Claimant, or Derivative Claimant. This is critical because we issue important notices to Settlement Class Members based on their current representation. If a Settlement Class Member you no longer represent still appears as represented by your firm in our records, we will issue the notice to you/your law firm instead of the Settlement Class Member directly (if unrepresented).

Remember: check your inventory periodically using the NFL 2006 (Settlement Class Member Inventory) Report in your Portal, and let us know if you no longer represent a Settlement Class Member by emailing:

- 1 Your designated Law Firm Contact; or
- 2 The Claims Administrator at ClaimsAdministrator@NFLConcussionSettlement.com. then change your records to show your new legal representation status in this Settlement Program.

After you provide notice of your withdrawal, we will update our records immediately and notify the Settlement Class Member they are no longer represented. ♦



You can send materials to us at one of these addresses:

U.S. Mail: NFL Concussion Settlement Claims Administrator P.O. Box 25369 Richmond, VA 23260	Delivery (ex., FedEx, UPS): NFL Concussion Settlement c/o BrownGreer PLC 250 Rocketts Way Richmond, VA 23231	If you call us at 1-855-887-3485 with questions about the BAP, select Option 2 to speak to the BAP Administrator.
--	---	---

Email: ClaimsAdministrator@NFLConcussionSettlement.com
Website: www.NFLConcussionSettlement.com | **Phone:** 1-855-887-3485